

**MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**

April 5, 2006

DIVISION TWO

B181118 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Villanueva

The judgment is affirmed.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

We concur: Doi Todd, Acting P.J.
Chavez, J.

B187369 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Persson

The Court:

The judgment is affirmed.

Boren, P.J., Ashmann-Gerst, J., Chavez, J.

B184110 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Ayala et al.

The judgments are affirmed.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.
 Doi Todd, J.

DIVISION TWO (Continued)

[illegible]

The judgment is modified to provide for a state penalty assessment of \$50 and a county penalty assessment of \$35 and is otherwise affirmed. On remand, the trial court is directed to modify the abstract of judgment accordingly.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.
 Doi Todd, J.

B180225 Bhagwagar (Not for Publication)
v.
City of Los Angeles

The judgment is affirmed. The City shall recover its costs on appeal.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.
 Doi Todd, J.

B187891 Manuel O. (Not for Publication)
v.
Superior Court, Los Angeles County

The writ petition is granted. The order denying petitioner reunification services pursuant to section 361.5, subdivision (b)(10) is reversed. The order to show cause is discharged.

Boren, P.J.

We concur: Doi Todd, J.
 Ashmann-Gerst, J.

DIVISION THREE

B171186 Rowland, et al. (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Madison Park Apartments, et al.

The judgment is affirmed in part and reversed and remanded in part. The award of costs is remanded to the trial court for a determination of costs in accordance with this opinion. The judgment is otherwise affirmed. All parties to bear their own costs on appeal.

Aldrich, J.

We concur: Croskey, Acting P.J.
 Kitching, J.

B174889 Namco Capital Group, Inc. (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Mojgan Rafi, et al.

The judgment is reversed. The action is remanded to the trial court for proceedings consistent with this opinion. Defendants and appellants are awarded costs on appeal.

Kitching, J.

We concur: Klein, P.J.
 Croskey, J.

B181401 People (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Ray Gonzales

The judgment is affirmed.

Aldrich, J.

We concur: Croskey, Acting P.J.
 Kitching, J.

April 5, 2006 (Continued)

DIVISION FOUR

B175987 People
v.
Jake

(Not for Publication)

The judgment is affirmed. The trial court is directed to correct the abstract of judgment to reflect that appellant's sentence for count 3 is concurrent with the sentence imposed on counts 1 and 2, and to forward the corrected abstract of judgment to the Department of Corrections.

Curry, J.

We concur: Epstein, P.J.
Willhite, J.

DIVISION FIVE

B188995 Sunset Millennium Associates, LLC (Certified for Publication)
v.
Le Songe, LLC, et al

Respondent's motion to dismiss appeal is denied.

Turner, P.J.

We concur: Armstrong, J.
 Kriegler, J.

B184970 Los Angeles County, D.C.S. (Not for Publication)
B188043 v.
Patricia M.
In re Raven S.

The judgment is reversed.

Turner, P.J.

We concur: Armstrong, J.
 Kriegler, J.

April 5, 2006 (Continued)

DIVISION FIVE (Continued)

B182338 People (Not for Publication)

V.

Esquibel Rodriguez

The judgment is affirmed.

Armstrong, J.

We concur: Turner, P.J.

Mosk, J.

B179022 Zengen, Inc.

V.

Comerica Bank

Filed order denying petition for rehearing.

Mosk, J. would grant rehearing.

DIVISION SEVEN

B179245 People (Not for Publication)

V.

Martinez

The judgment is modified to reflect that appellant was sentenced to a term of life with the possibility of parole, plus 20 years as to Count I, and that identical, concurrent sentences were imposed as to Counts II and III. The clerk of the superior court is directed to prepare an amended abstract of judgment consistent with this opinion. The superior court clerk is then directed to deliver the corrected abstract of judgment to the Department of Corrections. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

Woods, J.

We concur: Perluss, P.J.

Johnson, J.

April 5, 2006 (Continued)

DIVISION SEVEN (Continued)

B182099 Starwood Corporation (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Raytheon Corporation

The “order of judgment of dismissal” is reversed and the matter is remanded to the trial court with directions to (1) vacate the order sustaining Raytheon’s and Envirotech’s demurrers without leave to amend and dismissing Starwood’s complaint, (2) enter new and different orders overruling both demurrers and (3) restore this case to the active case list. Starwood is entitled to its costs of appeal.

Woods, J.

We concur: Perluss, P.J.
 Johnson, J.